

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/511,442 | 10/25/2004 | Takashi Shibanuma | 040550 | 2402 |
| 23850 | 7590 06/21/2005 | i. | EXAMINER | |
| ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP | | | COONEY, JOHN M | |
| 1725 K STR SUITE 1000 | , | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGT | TON, DC 20006 | 1711 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/21/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | ~ | <i>V</i> | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Action Cumment | 10/511,442 | SHIBANUMA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| The AAAU INO DATE of this communication | John m. Cooney | 1711 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperent of the Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _• | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| ·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | election requirement. c. epted or b) objected to by the 8 | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | • | • | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2 shts. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/511,442

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by WO 01/72880, US 2003/0055118, and Brandoli et al.(6,759,444), each taken individually, but referred to as the group BRANDOLI ET AL.

BRANDOLI ET AL. disclose blowing agents, polyol premixes, and polyurethane foamed products prepared from agents, reactants, and additives as claimed (see the documents in their entirety with note also of the abstract, page 7 lines 7-20, page 9 lines 26-27, page 11 lines 1-5, and the examples of WO01/72880).

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by EP 1,219,674.

EP 1,219,674 discloses blowing agents, polyol premixes, and polyurethane foamed products prepared from agents, reactants, and additives as claimed (see the entire document with note also of page 3 lines 25, 35, and 36).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,442

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 1,5-13,16,17,20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE-198 22 944.

DE-198 22 944 discloses blowing agent mixtures reading on those claimed which are employed in preparations of polyurethane foamed products prepared from agents, reactants, and additives as claimed in a manner such that anticipation is evident (see the entire document).

Claims 1,5-13,16,17,20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kruecke et al. (6,080,799).

Kruecke et al. discloses blowing agent mixtures reading on those claimed which are employed in preparations of polyurethane foamed products prepared from agents, reactants, and additives as claimed in a manner such that anticipation is evident (see the entire document).

Claims 1,5-13,16,17,20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kruecke et al.(6,380,275).

Kruecke et al. discloses blowing agent mixtures reading on those claimed which are employed in preparations of polyurethane foamed products prepared from agents, reactants, and additives as claimed in a manner such that anticipation is evident (see the entire document).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,442

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 1,5-13,16,17,20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP-11-343326.

JP-11-343326 discloses blowing agent mixtures reading on those claimed which are employed in preparations of polyurethane foamed products prepared from agents, reactants, and additives as claimed in a manner such that anticipation is evident (see the entire document).

Claims 1,5-13,16,17,20, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP-2003-206330.

JP-2003-206330 discloses blowing agent mixtures reading on those claimed which are employed in preparations of polyurethane foamed products prepared from agents, reactants, and additives as claimed in a manner such that anticipation is evident (see the entire document).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,442 Page 5

Art Unit: 1711

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-21 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-46 of copending Application No. 10/493,215. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of Application No. 10/493,215 disclose blowing agents, premixes for preparing foamed products utilizing blends of HFC's in overlap with members of the instantly claimed HFC and other agents as claimed. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed any combination of all of the these blowing agent materials for the purpose of imparting their blowing effect in the preparations of the claims of Application No. 10/493,215 in order to arrive at the products instantly claimed with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER